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HPC: an inseparable part of scientific progress

A recent design at LANL was deemed “computationally light” because it used only 1% of LANL’s computing capability during the past two years

Top 500: 72% clusters (vs 0% ten years ago)

So, what are clusters?
A Brief History of Clusters (sort of)

- A Single Node has:
  - OS
  - Storage
  - Daemons
  - Noise
ABHOC (CONT’D)

A Set of Nodes (usually has):

- Identical OS
- Network
- FS
- More Noise
The Head Node

- The Head Node
- Usually same OS
- Usually same network
- Allows connections from remote machines (desktops)
- Has all necessary information about the cluster
The File Server

- Massive amounts of storage
- Somehow must be delivered on-demand to the end nodes
- Scalable?
The File Server
(in detail)

- Use caching to distribute the data

- But what about writes?
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And Finally: Sets Of Clusters...

“Billions and Billions”

LANL has at least 5 operating at the same time
Now To Drive The Whole Thing

- Scheduler
- Job Starter
- Accounting
- Authentication
- Resource Discovery
- ...

Problems:

- Speed
- Speed
- Speed
- How high can we score on the Top 500?
- Factors which impact performance:
  - Hardware
  - Software
Problems (cont’d):

- 10 years ago there were no clusters in the Top 500 list
- 5 years ago 70% of the machines (including clusters, MPP and constellations) had fewer than 256 processors
- Now: 91% of the Top 500 list have 512 or more processors
- How fast has software moved in the past 5 years?
What We’ve Seen

- There is room for improvement on the software side of things
- Simple systems ultimately perform better than more complex ones (and are easier to administer)
- If it works well people will keep using it (provided it performs well)
- Simplicity: not necessarily the number of elements involved, but how they interact
A novel cluster management system

Designed with simplicity as the underlying paradigm

Aims to replace a very successful cluster framework: B-Proc

Aims to extend beyond the single system image to clusters of arbitrary configurations
Goals

- Scalability: thousands of nodes
- Heterogeneity: OS-independent, hardware-independent
- Flexibility: no restriction of the form and design of the cluster
- Performance: b-proc is the fastest system we know. XCPU should match it within a factor of five (16mb image over 1024 nodes in < 20 seconds)
Goals (cont’d)

- No head nodes
- Disconnected operation
- Ability to resume sessions

Starting point:
- What type of resource are we most successful in sharing today?
**Design**

- Split in Two: Clients and Servers
- Servers serve (synthetic or real) files
- Clients use standard file operations to access them
- Mounted or directly connected to over a/any network
Servers

- Provide a location to store binaries and input files
- Control application execution (start/stop/checkpoint)
- Federate input/output from/to clients
- Able to act as clients when tree-spawn execution is required
- Mountable (via v9fs) by any machine with permissions to do so
- Speed: 16MB binary copied and executed to 1024 nodes in 3 seconds (our current best is 6)
Clients

- Connect to one or more servers
- Create sessions
- Copy binary/input files/arguments
- Locate and copy additional libraries if necessary
- Federate input/output to/from servers
- Unexpected bonus: allow pipes to be executed across clusters!

```bash
#!/bin/bash
exec $*
exit 1
```
```
xrx -a tar zxf - < somefile.tgz
```
The XCPU Environment
The XCPU Environment (cont’d)

/mnt/xcpu/
cluster1/
  node1/
    session1/
... node2/
...
cluster3/
  node1/
    session1/
File Hierarchy

Top Level:
- arch
- clone
- env
- procs
- state
- auth
File Hierarchy

Session Directory
- argv
- ctl
- exec
- env
- fs
- state
- stdin
- stdout
- stderr
- stdio
- wait
- id
Example

$ mount -t 9p 192.168.100.101 /mnt/xcpu/1 -o port=6666
$ cd /mnt/xcpu/1
$ ls -l
-r--r--r-- 1 root root   0 Jul 25 10:19 arch
-r--r--r-- 1 root root   0 Jul 25 10:19 clone
-rw-r--r-- 1 root root   0 Jul 25 10:19 env
-r--r--r-- 1 root root   0 Jul 25 10:19 procs
-r--r--r-- 1 root root   0 Jul 25 10:19 state
$ tail -f clone &
1234
$ ls -ld 1234
-r--r--r-- 1 andrey root   0 Jul 25 10:19 1234
$ cd 1234
$ ls -l
-rw-rw---- 1 andrey root 0 Jul 25 12:58 argv
-rw-rw---- 1 andrey root 0 Jul 25 12:58 ctl
-rw-rw---- 1 andrey root 0 Jul 25 12:58 env
drwx------ 1 andrey root 0 Jul 25 12:58 fs
-r--r--r-- 1 andrey root 0 Jul 25 12:58 stderr
-rw-rw---- 1 andrey root 0 Jul 25 12:58 stdin
-rw-rw---- 1 andrey root 0 Jul 25 12:58 stdio
-r--r--r-- 1 andrey root 0 Jul 25 12:58 stdout
-rw-rw---- 1 andrey root 0 Jul 25 12:58 wait
$ cp /bin/date fs
$ echo exec date > ctl
$ cat stdout
Tue Jul 25 12:59:11 MDT 2006
$
Security

- Public/Private Key
- Identity vs TLS
- The Lamentable Introduction of an Administrative Account
Monitoring: Statfs

- Another file server
- Also a client
- Pings XCPU nodes periodically (with an adjustable frequency)
- Used by clients when they want to execute a job on all nodes without having to know where they are
- Basic FIFO scheduling
**Scheduling**

- We don’t want to do scheduling, there are many other systems that can do it for us much better
- Maui/Torque integration
- LSF (?)
- PBS
- Scheduling across administrative domains?
Implementation

- OS Independent
- Language Independent
- Current implementation written in C using standard, POSIX-compliant code (no GNU-isms)
Plan 9 & 9P

- “Everything is a file”
- network (/tcp)
- Source of our protocol: 9P
- Robust
- Portable
- Works over all kinds of connections (tcp/rudp/ib/cell’s dma)
- Scalable
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>9P Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Version</td>
<td>Auth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>Flush</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attach</td>
<td>Walk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Create</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Read</td>
<td>Write</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clunk</td>
<td>Remove</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stat</td>
<td>Wstat</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
~20k SLOC

Includes all libraries + client, server and monitoring code

Libraries allow new file servers and clients to be created very easy (100 lines of code gives you a fully functional mountable client)
Portability

- Anything with a socket :)
- Linux
- *BSD
- Darwin

Most if not all portability issues arise from different representations of system resources /proc is the best example
Future

- Interface to debuggers?
- Fully integrated resource discovery?
- Monitoring and control
- Resilience?
Thank You!

http://xcpu.org